



Top Ten 5-Year Evaluation Fast Facts

1. There were 29 total evaluators, 3 with some degree of overlap under different affiliations)
2. Most (25 or 86% of) evaluators worked with a single state
3. Four evaluators were in-house, the rest were from a third party.

Evaluators with Single or Multiple States



4. One evaluator worked with 27 states, other evaluators worked with 1, 2, or 3 states
5. 22 evaluators were new to this cycle (2018-22)
6. 7 evaluators *returned* from the last cycle
7. 22 evaluators *did not return* from the 2013-17 cycle



8. All states' evaluators used document review, including SPR data)
9. Most evaluators used a combination of surveys, interviews, and focus groups to triangulate for goal achievement
10. Interviews and focus groups were often conducted virtually

Number of States' Evaluations Reporting Each Method

